



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,604	07/11/2003	Michael R. Manzano	TPTC-I-1002	2950
25315 7590 05/06/2008 BLACK LOWE & GRAHAM, PLLC 701 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 4800 SEATTLE, WA 98104				
EXAMINER PRCT, NATHAN E				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2194				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/06/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/617,604

Applicant(s)

MANZANO, MICHAEL R.

Examiner

NATHAN PRICE

Art Unit

2194

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Scott Bom (Reg. No. 40,523).

(3) _____.

(2) NATHAN PRICE.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 01 May 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,8,14,18,24 and 31-33.

Identification of prior art discussed: Saulpaugh et al. (US 7,016,966).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The claim amendments submitted 27 March 2008 were discussed. It appears that specifying the migration then service look-up (in order) overcomes the 35 U.S.C. 102 rejections with Saulpaugh. However, further consideration is required regarding possible rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103 as well as an additional prior art search.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Meng-Ai An/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.